

At the recess meeting of the Giles County Board of Supervisors on Thursday, September 22, 2011, at 6:30 PM in the Giles County General District Courtroom, 120 North Main Street, Pearisburg, the following were present:

Eric Gentry	Chairman (Eastern District)
Paul "Chappy" Baker	Vice Chairman (At-Large Supervisor)
Howard Spencer	Western District
Richard McCoy	At-Large Supervisor
Barbara Hobbs	Central District
Chris McKjarney	County Administrator
Susan Kidd	Board Secretary

## CALL TO ORDER/INVOCATION

Mr. Gentry called the meeting to order. Mr. Reed had the invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

***Mr. McCoy moved to approve the minutes of September 7, 2011. Second by Mr. Spencer. Minutes were approved 4-0 with one abstention. Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Mr. Gentry, Mr. Spencer; Ms. Hobbs abstained as she was not present at that meeting.***

## CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS

**Gerald Duncan, Treasurer:** Mr. Duncan reported on the bank balance at the middle of August. He also reported he hired a new employee in his office to replace one who resigned. The DMV van will be in the county on the third Wednesday of each month for a six-month trial period. They hope there will be a sufficient number of people using it to make it a regular monthly visit. Mr. Duncan also reported that his office has closed out the fiscal year for June 30, 2011. The final report was attached to information he supplied the board.

Mr. Duncan said his office is planning to offer a notification service of tax deadlines, water bill deadlines, etc. Residents can sign up for it and receive notices on their email. Mr. Baker noted that a lot of older folks don't use email. Mr. Gentry said this was good though; it is another tool to communicate with the public. Mr. Duncan said the information will continue to be in their newsletter also.

## RESOLUTION – JUDICIAL CHANGES

Mr. McKjarney reported that this was presented to the board from the Regional Jail at the last meeting. The county attorney has commented on it. Ms. Hobbs asked what would be the purpose in passing this resolution. Mr. McCoy said that the attorney comments indicate that the Regional Jail can continue to serve any members of the authority regardless of the judicial district.

***Ms. Hobbs moved to deny the request for a resolution and take no further action on this. Second by Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

## INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW – CHEMICAL LIME VPDES PERMIT

Mr. McKjarney presented a standard intergovernmental review from the PDC concerning a water release permit for Chemical Lime. He spoke to a company representative to get additional information. Mr. McKjarney said the description in the review document is misleading. The description states that they will "release storm water and treated industrial wastewaters, including cooling water, at a rate of approximately 1,000,000 gallons a day...". However, only storm water will be treated and released. Mr. McCoy commented that the coolant water is totally

contained through their process. Mr. McKJarney said that is correct. Ms. Hobbs noted this is the same process they have been using for years. Mr. McKJarney recommended checking the first option on the review “no conflict”. Mr. Gentry said they needed to clarify the issue with wastewater with DEQ because 5-10 years from now, someone could read this and no one would remember that it was not correct. Board members agreed there was no conflict with the actual process Chemical Lime applied for.

***Mr. McCoy moved to authorize the county administrator to indicate there is no conflict with Chemical Lime’s application for a discharge permit as presented, with correction as discussed. Second by Mr. Spencer. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

## **RESOLUTION – SUPPORT OF PUBLIC EDUCATION IN VIRGINIA**

Mr. McKJarney said the school board passed a resolution in support of the governor allocating a portion of the FY11 budget surplus to K-12 education. They have asked the supervisors to pass a similar resolution. Mr. McCoy said he did not feel the money was actually a surplus but he was willing to go along with the resolution.

***Ms. Hobbs moved to approve a resolution in support of public education as outlined. Second by Mr. Baker. (copy attached). Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

## **SESQUICENTENNIAL – REQUEST FOR ROAD CLOSURE**

Mr. McKJarney presented a request from the Giles County Historical Society to close the road adjacent to the Confederate Monument on June 9, 2012, and a portion of the road adjacent to Pearis Cemetery on June 10, 2012. They are planning for the Sesquicentennial Civil War celebration next year and this requires board approval before they can send the request to VDOT.

***Mr. McCoy moved to approve the request by the Historical Society for road closings for June 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> contingent upon VDOT approval. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

## **RESOLUTION – CLOSING OF EGGLESTON POST OFFICE**

There will be a public meeting on Friday (9/23) night from 6-8 PM to discuss the closing of the Eggleston Post Office. Mr. Gentry asked the board to pass a resolution in support of keeping the post office there open. He said he realized the post office is “bleeding” and needs to save money, but they do not need to shut all the rural post offices first. He felt sure there were other things they could do to save money other places. There are a lot of elderly who live in Eggleston and they use the postal service; these are not people with computers and technology. Ms. Hobbs said she understands where the postal service is coming from but when you see what is happening, there is a lot of waste out there. They can do a lot with that before closing post offices.

***Mr. McCoy moved to approve the resolution in support of the Eggleston Post Office. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

## **GILES COUNTY DAY REPORT PROGRAM – UPDATE**

Mr. McKJarney introduced Steve Taylor, Day Report Program Manager, who was present to give the board an update on the program at Mr. Gentry’s request. Mr. Taylor had several people with him from the program and also said would be reviewing the background and process involved in Day Reporting.

Mr. Taylor stated that people in the program are first taken through the in-take process. This involved three separate assessments – an assessment is done to determine their psychological state, if they have any substance abuse issues and their risk level (of re-offending). After that, Mr. Taylor works to determine the type of program they will be required to complete. People with substance abuse issues are usually enrolled in a 16-week substance abuse education class. That is taught by Ms. Valerie Massey, a certified addiction counselor. Once they complete that, they enroll in a life skills program taught by Mr. Jeff Dinger. This covers everything from job interviewing skills, how to apply for jobs, handling money, to writing a check, etc. Mr. Taylor said the sentences range from as little as 6 months on up to 2 years.

There is also a community service component included in the program. Mr. Taylor noted that when they first began the program, the majority of participants were unemployed and he had a large pool of people who worked at convenience centers and on trash trucks. At the present time, he has 15 participants and most of them are employed. That makes it challenging to get them into any type of community service. They are required to appear once a week for class on Wednesday nights from 6-7:30. They are drug screened randomly at least twice a month. Mr. Taylor said in addition to the challenges with community service, he has several participants who suffer from physical disabilities that prevent them from doing any type of strenuous work. He has had those folks come into the office to stuff envelopes or other low impact jobs. Mr. Taylor introduced two participants – April and Jeff -- who have successfully completed the program and who were present at the meeting to share their story with the board.

**April** spoke about her battle with drug addiction. She was sent to New Life and then to day reporting. April said there she learned what that addiction was doing to her and to her family. Today she works in a pharmacy and has been clean for three years. This program really helps.

**Jeff** stated that he went through this program beginning in 2009. He said his problems with drugs have followed him around much of his life and he appreciated this program giving him a second chance. Jeff said he was 50 years old and had been in trouble for about 35 years. Until he was assigned to the day report program though, he had never been in anything like this. He graduated from the program but still comes back a couple times a month to attend the meetings. Mr. Belcher, Mr. Taylor and Mr. Dinger really care about the people in this program. Jeff said drugs are a problem in the area and he was part of that problem, but he got a lot out of this program. Now he would like to help other people who would like to change. This program is here and these people care and will help people who want to change. Jeff said he appreciated this program and the opportunity to talk to the board about it tonight.

Mr. Gentry said he appreciated hearing from April and Jeff. He had asked for an update on the program because the board really did not know a lot about the day-to-day workings of the program. He said Jeff and April are products of a good idea by folks who are trying to do something to help people improve their lives. Jeff said a lot of people are in the program because they have to be; that is why he started but after getting on the same page as the staff – it works. Not everyone will get the same thing out of it, but it is available for people who want to try.

Mr. Spencer said 15 people in this program save the county about \$415 daily. Mr. Taylor said he was aware of that; he has been running those figures every month. Ms. Hobbs said the best benefit to this is people becoming productive members of society.

## **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

### **AMENDMENT TO PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT – SHAWN AND MOLLY HASH**

Mr. Gentry opened the public hearing. Mr. Reed stated that this was a request by Sizemore, Inc. and Shawn and Molly Hash to amend a previously approved Planned Unit Development (PUD) located on Cascade Drive and owned by Sizemore, Inc. The amendment would add 0.1456 acres from the “green area”, tract 3 and 0.5044 acres from the conservation easement previously grant to the National Committee for the New River to Lot #6.

This would increase the size of Lot #6 from 1.0054 acres to 1.6554 acres. This proposal would also reduce the open space in the PUD from 58.25% to 52.72%.

Mr. Reed said there is no formal application for this type of amendment, so he asked the owner to write a letter to the board concerning this request. The reason this amendment is before the board is because it is part of a previously approved PUD and this makes changes to the exterior boundary and to the open space. Ms. Hobbs asked how much building has gone on within this PUD. Mr. Reed said there was one home that he was aware of. Mr. Whittaker noted this request came about as a result of the second home being planned there. Mr. McCoy asked about the changes to the green or open space. Mr. Reed said there has to be a minimum of 50% open space in a PUD. This still exceeds that figure. Mr. Gentry commented that Lot 6 will grow by taking from both Lot 3 and the conservation easement. The letter from Mr. Hash only mentions the conservation easement. Mr. Reed said if this was only dealing with that easement, the board would not have to be involved. The board has to be involved because of the portion coming out of the green/open space. The land from Lot 3 will change the exterior boundary of the approved plan. Ms. Hobbs asked if they needed to do an amendment to the plat and record it at the courthouse. Mr. Reed said this was not a plat review; it is a concept review to make sure the board is okay with changes to the PUD concept they approved. He has not yet reviewed this for compliance with the subdivision ordinance. He noted this was for board action and does not have to go back to the Planning Commission for recommendation. There were no other comments from the board or public. Mr. Gentry closed the public hearing.

***Mr. McCoy moved to approve the amendment for Sizemore, Inc. and Shawn and Molly Hash to Lot 6 on Shiloh Way located off Cascade Drive in Pembroke as presented. It was noted that with this change, the PUD still exceeds the minimum acreage for green space within the PUD. Second by Ms. Hobbs. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

#### **SPECIAL EXCEPTION REQUEST – COMMERCIAL/SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT – J. BLEVINS**

Mr. Gentry opened the public hearing, which was being held jointly with the Planning Commission. Mr. Whittaker stated that this was a special exception permit application for a commercial or service establishment. Jimmy Blevins is serving as agent for Margaret Ann Hopkins who is the owner of this property. Mr. Blevins wishes to establish a small engine repair shop at this location on 2017 Springdale Road, Pearisburg. The property is located in the RFA-1 zoning district and is approximately .89 acres. Mr. Whittaker noted that the proposed hours of operation would be MF 9-6 and Saturday 9-12. This building will not be for public occupancy and will be for drop-off and pick-up of items only. Mr. Whittaker reported that the only comments he had received on this hearing were from Riley and Belinda Bowman, adjacent property owners. They offered a letter in support of the application. There were no comments from the board members, Planning Commission, or public. Mr. Gentry closed the public hearing and referred the application back to the Planning Commission for recommendation.

#### **APPOINTMENTS**

***Mr. McCoy moved to reappoint Leon Law to the Planning Commission as an at-large representative for a four-year term. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

***Mr. Baker moved to reappoint Jeff Morris as a representative to the Planning Commission for a four-year term. Second by Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

***Ms. Hobbs moved to reappoint Steve Taylor as a representative to for the Central District to the Planning Commission. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.***

#### **STOCK PEN WATER PROJECT**

Mr. Belcher reported that the Stock Pen Mountain water extension is moving along with the majority of it being complete. All of the main lines and meters have been installed. The crew is running service lines for LMA customers. He noted they do still have a pump station to install and had trouble finding a flat piece of land for that. They had to construct an area out of rock to house that. He estimated completion within 35-40 days. Mr. Spencer said he had been to the site and it looks like the crew did an excellent job. Mr. Belcher said with only three full-time people on the public works crew and a couple of short-term contract people, it is really amazing the work they get done.

## FAIRVIEW HOMES

Mr. Belcher reported that Craig County would like to get out of ownership of Fairview Homes. They have not had anyone there in about 20 years. They are a 5% owner and see that as a liability. The original letter was from Craig's attorney and included the valuation of property at \$1.4 million. He asked the property to be divided among five owners and Craig County bought out. The Fairview Homes Board noted that Craig only owns 5%. Mr. Belcher said if Craig leaves, Giles County's portion would go up – it is not a lot of money but is setting a precedent that is concerning. Mr. Belcher noted he represents the county on the operation board there and Mr. Butch Mullins represents them on the ownership board.

Mr. Belcher said the Home gets \$900 per person from the state for people there. The localities are not supposed to support this – that is the reason for the separate boards. New River Community Services has stepped in and made big changes in the operations there. Mr. Belcher said he used to be very concerned over what he saw, but NRCOS has improved things. The place is clean and the residents seem to be well taken care of. Ms. Hobbs said if Craig County wants out, the others are not obligated to buy them out.

Mr. Belcher said Craig County is now paying their portion (5%) of the capital costs. Ms. Hobbs asked if they were in arrears on that. Mr. Belcher said there was some question on that. Before 1999, there is very poor paperwork. Since that time though, Craig County has been current. However, they do want out and see this as a large liability. Mr. Baker said it also seems they feel they can get some money out of leaving. Mr. Gentry said he thought they realized they are not going to get any money out of this. Mr. Belcher said he felt one of their concerns was if the boards would elect to sell that property at some time in the future and they would not get anything out of it. He said this decision on the Fairview Homes Board has to be unanimous and that is really the reason he is presenting this to the board. Ms. Hobbs said it seems Craig wants to sell out, but if the property is sold they want to retain the right to capitalize on it. That won't work. Mr. Belcher said he just wanted to start a discussion with the board on this and get their feedback on it. He said whatever the operating board recommends the Fairview District Board will approve. Mr. Mullins said the district board also requires the county boards to approve it and everyone has to agree (all members).

Mr. Gentry asked Mr. Mullins how the other members felt about this request. Mr. Mullins said the Craig County representative on the board has been absent for the past 3 or 4 meetings. Mr. Belcher said that was true and before the last 6 months he had never seen the Craig representative. Mr. Mullins said the Fairview rep is also a member of the Craig County Board of Supervisors. The original request was that Craig County wants to leave and if they were allowed, they would sign everything over to the board. The Fairview Board said they needed a formal request for that action. This caused Craig to involve lawyers and that is where the request for money came in. There is no real value in the property unless it is on the market and sold. Craig County realizes they are not going to get anything out of it and wants to get out of any liability. Mr. Spencer said he could not agree with what they were asking. Mr. Mullins said the representative is going back to the Board of Supervisors to make a recommendation that they sign a complete release and then she will bring that back to the board. Mr. Spencer asked if Craig County could legally walk away with no obligation. Mr. Mullins said only at the time they are released from it. They would have no liability from that point forward, but anything prior to that would still include them in liability. His understanding though is that they just want to walk away from it. Craig County supervisors will discuss this the first part of October. Fairview Homes board will discuss after.

Ms. Hobbs said if the Fairview Homes Board does not vote to let Craig County out, what legal rights do they have. Mr. Mullins said it could go into litigation. The Code section that deals with Authorities is sort of what happens here. If someone wanted to get out of PSA, all the other localities would have to approve. Mr. Gentry asked how much increase in funding were they talking about for Giles if this happens. Mr. Belcher said his guess was at most a couple thousand dollars if they are willing to step away and take no money for it. Ms. Hobbs asked what the Fairview representatives recommend. Mr. Mullins said he would have to wait and see what they come back with.

Mr. Belcher said there is currently \$85,000 in the capital replacement fund. A few years ago, they had nothing. Mr. Spencer noted that a few years ago the Hammering in the Hills crew went over and put a roof on for them. Mr. Belcher agreed there had been some positive changes in the last few years. Mr. Mullins said although Giles does not have a large population at Fairview now, he could remember a time when they did have a lot of folks there. This is the only facility of its kind in Southwest Virginia right now.

Mr. Gentry said if he put himself in Craig County's shoes, from a financial standpoint, they are not in good shape. If they have no county residents there and haven't for some time, then it is a liability risk and he would want to get out. As far as the money, he said he supposed it was okay to try for it, but they probably realize it isn't there. They basically just want out. Mr. Belcher said to further complicate this, there are Community Services serves people in Craig County and other places who are not members of Fairview, but still charge Medicare/Medicaid for those people. NRCOS is very reluctant to stir up anything with these other places. He said Floyd County has four people at Fairview and they are not members. Giles has only one person there. The board has discussed the idea of an accessibility fee for localities who are not members. If they want to send someone there, maybe they should be paying a portion of the liability/capital costs. Fairview's board has asked Floyd for about \$10,000; Floyd never answered. Mr. Belcher said if someone wants to send people to Fairview, they should have some investment in it. Ms. Hobbs said the Fairview board should make that policy change. If someone is not a participating member, then they have to pay something to put their people there. Mr. Mullins said that would be looked at. The facility is better economically and financially if the beds are full though. There is not a real waiting list, but it does raise the possibility of a conflict if Giles needs a bed and it is not available and there are 4 or 5 people there from non-member counties.

Mr. McCoy said he understood the problem. However, when NRCOS took this over they started billing Medicare/Medicaid and generating money – more than ever before. So, technically those people from other counties are paying something – money is coming in for them. They would really not be getting anywhere to drop to 55 full beds from 61. They would be losing money then. He also said if they tell Floyd they have to pay and they don't, what would you do – kick those people out? Board members agreed that regardless of these problems, having NRCOS run it was one of the best moves they have made. It is working well.

Mr. Belcher said he had an idea – NRCOS runs the place and serves the people in Giles County. There is about 5-7 acres there in addition to the Fairview building. What if the localities did not own it and Community Services did. It would be a part of the service they provide. They may also be interested in putting something entirely different on that property there. It is just an idea to see if it is something the board would consider. Ms. Hobbs said she would not at this point. If the county retains ownership, they maintain some control. Mr. Mullins said they really have to wait and see what Craig County brings back in a formal request. There may be some potential impact on the grants and those things have to be worked out. It is going to cost Giles County some more money on the capital side if Craig goes.

Ms. Hobbs asked if Giles has researched their own minutes going back to look at ownership and the original agreement. Mr. Gentry said he thought this board looked at the contracts. Mr. Mullins said Craig has a lot of the original documents. Mr. Belcher asked if the board would agree if Craig walked away and did not want anything now or in the future. Ms. Hobbs asked she would like to talk to the county attorney. Mr. Belcher said he has talked to him and it was suggested to let Craig go. Mr. Gentry said he felt that would be the best exit. Mr. Mullins said the consensus of the Fairview board seems to be if Craig is willing to walk away and sign away any claims to payment, then let them go. Mr. Gentry said he would ask the board there to consider contribution to

operating/capital for non-members. Mr. Mullins said the communications between Floyd and Fairview Homes board have been on a sort of informal basis. They do realize that Floyd needs to put something into the facility – something besides just sending people over there.

Mr. McKJarney said he agreed with the supervisors – let Craig County walk away. Mr. Spencer said the operating expense actually involves maintenance of the facility and operational overhead. He could understand Giles participating in the maintenance, but is the operational cost equals to 1 in 59. Mr. Belcher said the board there decided on a 5% minimum that anyone would pay on the operational costs. This board approved that several years ago. The 5% is of the difference between what NRCOS can charge for their services to Medicare/Medicaid and the cost of running the facility. NRCOS is generating a lot of money, but it takes a lot of money to provide the type of services they are providing. There used to be 3 or 4 cars in the parking lot there; now there are 30 or more. Ms. Hobbs asked if it took 30 or 40 people to take care of 59 patients or is NRCOS putting too many personnel there. Mr. McKJarney said just looking at staffing levels compared to the nursing home, he would think that is not too far off. Ms. Hobbs said if NRCOS has people there that are not needed and have salaries and benefits figured into the operational costs – remember Fairview Homes started off as the “poor farm” – if those costs are included in the operational costs, then the county should not be paying anything. She asked how could they get true figures of what is actually required. Mr. McKJarney said he felt the state would have some guidelines. He will check on getting those. Ms. Hobbs said she did not mean to assume they are doing that, but with that many staff for 59 people, it is questionable. Mr. Gentry said the board discussed this before they agreed to go with NRCOS. They discussed open books and making sure they were running a good operation. Maybe it is time to just ask them to provide the board with the numbers. Mr. Belcher said he would be happy to do that. Mr. Mullins said there is also a lot of grant funding coming through there through Community Services or through the board for the betterment of the facility. Mr. Belcher said he did not know what it should take to run that facility so Ms. Hobbs question is certainly valid. He thanked the board for their input and he will continue to look into this.

## **GLEN LYN**

Mr. Spencer expressed appreciation for the county’s help in Glen Lyn recently with their sewer problems. The town really appreciated that.

## **INMATE WORK PROGRAM**

Mr. McKJarney reported that the inmate work program will begin on October 3<sup>rd</sup>. Regional jail personnel will be providing staff training next week and the program will begin the following week. He said the program will probably start with 5-6 people and increase from there. They will be working at Stock Pen and the tank sites, pump stations, etc. Ms. Hobbs asked who the training was for. Mr. McKJarney said anyone working with the inmates would be trained. There will also be a gun crew with them at all times.

This training is for anyone supervising or working around them. It is primarily safety – not providing them with cell phones, food, etc. This is mandatory training. The jail will send lunch with them and will bring them over. The county will take them back at the end of the day. Mr. McKJarney noted that the regional jail has been very helpful and supportive with this. The Regional Jail would also like to invite board members to come to the facility and see what the daily operations are like. Mr. McKJarney said he would recommend that also.

## **CELCO VOLUNTEERS**

Mr. McKJarney said he received a request from Celanese on volunteer projects that 25-30 employees can do next month. If any of the board members know of something that needs done, please let him know and he will pass that along. Mr. McKJarney complimented Celanese on how they have worked with the county in the past; they are a great corporate neighbor.

## **PAYMENT OF WARRANTS**

*Mr. McCoy moved to appropriate \$469,597.45 for payment of warrants as presented. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

## **SCHOOL BOARD APPROPRIATION**

*Ms. Hobbs moved to appropriate \$2,339,311.74 for the School Board for the month of October, 2011. \$163,294.74 of this is Federal Jobs money (which was previously approved). Second by Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

*Ms. Hobbs moved to allow supplements from the Jobs money to the budget on a monthly basis beginning in October and running through June 30<sup>th</sup> until funds are expended. Second by Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

## **SOCIAL SERVICES APPROPRIATIONS**

*Mr. McCoy moved to appropriate \$140,000 for Social Services and \$110,000 for CSA for October, 2011. Second by Mr. Spencer. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

## **CARRY OVER FUNDS FROM FY11**

Ms. Tickle presented carryover funds from the FY11 budget year. She stated that the majority of these are state mandates and are pre-approved.

*Mr. McCoy moved to approve carryovers from the FY11 budget to the FY12 budget as presented (copy attached) in the amount of \$367,288.88. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

## **APPOINTMENTS**

*Mr. Baker moved to reappoint Mr. Tom Miller to the Industrial Development Authority for a four-year term. Second by Ms. Hobbs. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

*Mr. Spencer moved to reappoint Mr. Lee Blaker to the Industrial Development Authority for a four-year term. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

*Ms. Hobbs moved to reappoint Mr. Paul "Chappy" Baker as an alternate representative to the Agency on Aging for a one-year term. Second by Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

*Ms. Hobbs moved to reappoint Mr. John Peek, contingency upon his acceptance, as the representative to the Agency on Aging for a one-year term. Second by Mr. Baker. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.*

## **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

**Mr. McCoy moved to go into Executive Session as permitted by Virginia Code 2.2-3711 A(30) Contracts. Second by Mr. Spencer. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry, Mr. Baker.**

**Ms. Hobbs moved to certify that in the closed session just concluded, the Board discussed nothing except the matters specifically identified in the motion to convene and lawfully permitted to be discussed under the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act cited in that motion. Second by Mr. Spencer. Approved unanimously by roll call vote – Voting YES: Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker.**

**RED SULPHUR PSD AGREEMENT**

**Mr. Baker moved to approve the service agreement with the Red Sulphur Public Service District. Second by Mr. McCoy. Approved unanimously (5-0). Voting YES: Mr. McCoy, Mr. Baker, Ms. Hobbs, Mr. Spencer, Mr. Gentry.**

No further business was introduced. Mr. Gentry adjourned the meeting. The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, October 3, 2011, at 10 AM in the General District Courtroom, 120 North Main Street, Pearisburg, Virginia.

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_

Chairperson

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_ Clerk

